

RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

FORT McCLELLAN, ALABAMA

* * * * *

Taken before SAMANTHA E. NOBLE, a Court
Reporter and Commissioner for Alabama at Large, at
Building 215, Fort McClellan, Alabama, on the 21st day
of April, 2003, commencing at approximately 6:30 p.m.

P.O. BOX 544
OHATCHEE, AL 36271
256-892-0591
FAX 256-892-3001

R E P O R T E R ' S I N D E X

CAPTION SHEET	1
REPORTER'S INDEX	2
RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD	3-84
CERTIFICATE	85-86

1 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: It is time for
2 the 6:30. We will call the RAB meeting to order. Ask
3 that all the RAB members -- our first order will be
4 the roll call. And our excused absences are
5 Cheryl Bragg and Craig Branchfield. Harrington is
6 here. Mr. Ryan?

7 MR. GLYNN RYAN: Here.

8 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Mr. Beckett?

9 MR. SCOTT BECKETT: Here.

10 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Mr. Buford?

11 MR. BUFORD: Here.

12 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Mr. Clendenin?

13 Mr. Conroy?

14 MR. PETE CONROY: Here.

15 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Dr. Cox?

16 DR. BARRY COX: Here.

17 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Mr. Elser?

18 MR. JEROME ELSER: Here.

19 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Ms. Fathke?

20 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Here.

21 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Mr. Franklin?

22 MR. FRANKLIN: Here.

23 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Mr. Hood?

1 Mayor Kimbrough?

2 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Here.

3 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Mr. Mitchell?

4 MR. DWIGHT MITCHELL: Here.

5 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Mr. Miller?

6 Mr. Grant?

7 MR. RON GRANT: Here.

8 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Mr. Levy?

9 MR. RON LEVY: Here.

10 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Mr. Brittain?

11 MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: Here.

12 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Mr. Stroud?

13 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Ms. Mary, did

14 you call me?

15 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Yes, sir.

16 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: I'm here. I

17 didn't answer you.

18 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I'm sorry, but

19 I took care of you, because I had spoken to you. The

20 only one I think I have absent is Mr. Hood and

21 Mr. Miller; is that correct? And Mr. Stroud.

22 At this time, we'll ask the guests

23 to introduce themselves. And we usually go around the

1 wall. And we also ask that you sign in if you did not
2 so that we will have the actual, correct spelling of
3 your name for the persons that were here.

4 MS. BRENDA CUNNINGHAM:
5 Brenda Cunningham, work for the Environmental Office
6 here at Fort McClellan.

7 MS. LISA HOLSTEIN: Lisa Holstein,
8 Transition Force Environmental Office.

9 MR. LEE JAYE: Lee Jaye, Transition
10 Force.

11 MR. ART HOLCOMB: Art Holcomb,
12 Foster Wheeler Environmental.

13 SUZANNE MURDOCK: Suzanne Murdock,
14 Corps of Engineers Huntsville.

15 MR. PAUL JAMES: Paul James,
16 Transition Force Environmental Office.

17 CLAUDE LEAKE: Claude Leake, Corps
18 of Engineers Mobile.

19 MS. OLIVIA HENRY: Olivia Henry,
20 Headquarters U. S. Army Corps of Engineers,
21 Washington, D.C.

22 MR. GREG SISCO: Greg Sisco, Shaw
23 Environmental.

1 MR. ALVIN McNEAL: Alvin McNeal
2 (phonetic), Huntsville Corps of Engineers,
3 Fort McClellan site manager, project manager.
4 MS. JEANIE YACOB: Jeanie Yacoub,
5 Shaw Environmental.
6 MR. LEE COKER: Lee Coker, Corps of
7 Engineers Mobile.
8 MR. CHIP PARROTT: Chip Parrott,
9 Corps of Engineers Mobile.
10 MR. JOSH JENKINS: Josh Jenkins,
11 Shaw Environmental.
12 MR. DENNIS CALVERT: Dennis Calvert
13 (phonetic), Corps of Engineers Atlanta.
14 MS. KAREN PINSON: Karen Pinson,
15 Transition Force Environmental.
16 MR. BILL GARLAND: Bill Garland,
17 U. S. Fish & Wildlife Service.
18 MR. DAVID LOVOY: David Lovoy, ADEM.
19 MR. JOE DOYLE: Joe Doyle,
20 Transition Force Legal.
21 MR. BOB DAFFRON: Bob Daffon,
22 National Guard.
23 MR. DWIGHT HOLLAND: Dwight Holland,

P.O. BOX 544
OHATCHEE, AL 36271
256-892-0591
FAX 256-892-3001

1 SAIC.

2 MR. JOE McCARY: Citizen,
3 Joe McCary, Jacksonville.

4 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: We're down to
5 our old business. And our first item of business will
6 be the committee report on the minute issue. And that
7 committee was in the person of Mr. Ryan, Mr. Levy,
8 Mr. Conroy, Mr. Clendenin and Ms. Fathke. And I think
9 Mr. Clendenin was unable to be with them.

10 Who is going to give the rest of the
11 members the report? Are you going to give us the
12 report? Are you going to give us the report? Are you
13 going to give us the report?

14 MS. DONNA FATHKE: I can do it.
15 Pete Conroy, myself, Mr. Ryan and Mr. Levy met on
16 Thursday, I believe it was, to talk about the minutes.
17 Mr. Ryan submitted a copy of a sample of how they
18 would like to do the minutes from here on in. I
19 believe they are page two, three, and four in your
20 packets.

21 One comment I have on the agenda is
22 we don't have any agenda item that calls for approval
23 of minutes for today.

1 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Are you making
2 a comment --

3 MS. DONNA FATHKE: I'm asking what
4 happened to that agenda item?

5 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Would you
6 like -- this agenda is the agenda that we're working
7 from, unless you would like to --

8 MS. DONNA FATHKE: I do believe
9 that we should --

10 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: -- unless you
11 would like to amend the agenda, you know, add to the
12 agenda for the minutes.

13 MS. DONNA FATHKE: I would like to
14 amend the agenda to add approval of the minutes on
15 here.

16 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Is that in the
17 form of a motion?

18 MS. DONNA FATHKE: I so move.

19 MR. PETE CONROY: Second.

20 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: It has been
21 properly motioned and seconded that the minute
22 approval be added to the agenda. Are you ready for
23 the questions? All in favor of said motion let it be

1 known by I? Opposes?

2 There being none, we will amend this
3 agenda to add the approval of the minutes. And I'm
4 going to make that a little dot in between the
5 committee report on the minute. That's where you add
6 it.

7 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Right after that
8 line?

9 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: The committee
10 report on the minute issue.

11 MS. DONNA FATHKE: At this meeting,
12 I expressly stated my dissatisfaction with this
13 particular format of the minutes, but we left the
14 meeting with, this is how it's going to be at this
15 point. My personal feeling is that it's now on the
16 shoulders of the RAB to review the transcripts and
17 make amendments to the minutes at each meeting so that
18 we can add some meat back into these minutes. Pete, I
19 don't know if you want to add anything.

20 MR. PETE CONROY: Sure. My sense,
21 after having this meeting that we had the other day,
22 is that this -- I'll call them sterilized minutes
23 right here or simplified minutes. And I think that

1 because the transcripts are provided, we really have
2 the meat that we need inside those transcripts. And
3 so, if we think that something is important, we need
4 to be more tenacious in gleaning the tone and the
5 flavor of meetings from our memories and those
6 transcripts. And then when it comes time for the
7 approval of minutes, we can add and subtract things
8 that we think are particularly important.

9 But I think, from the Army's
10 perspective, there providing this foundation on which
11 we build is adequate. But we need to work harder and
12 remember that we just don't say, yeah, approved every
13 time it comes across, because it's up to us to make
14 sure that the flavor is captured.

15 And also, recognizing the fact that
16 these minutes, essentially, sure enough they go to the
17 local libraries, and I don't know that there is any
18 long line of people waiting to read our minutes.
19 Really, the way that we communicate with the Army and
20 Washington is through the headlines of our newspaper.
21 And we need to remember that, as well.

22 MS. DONNA FATHKE: My concern with
23 adding more substance to the minutes is just that the

1 minutes should be an outline so if anybody wants to do
2 any research on topics that have been discussed, they
3 can first go to the minutes, find some guidance there
4 on which transcripts to look at. The transcripts are
5 a minimum of eighty or ninety pages long. And there
6 is no way you could research a topic by reading
7 through all of that. That is my primary purpose.

8 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: I have a
9 question. Who is taking minutes now, other than the
10 transcription here?

11 MR. GLYNN RYAN: Right here.

12 (Pointing.)

13 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Then there
14 are no minutes for this committee. If we don't have a
15 secretary taking minutes, minutes don't exist, it
16 seems to me.

17 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Well, the
18 transcripts are taken -- and what we've done in the
19 past, somebody has then reviewed the transcripts and
20 come up with the minutes of the meeting. That
21 particular topic has been kind of a topic of
22 discussion the last couple of RAB meetings. And we're
23 trying to bring it to closure.

1 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: It seems to
2 me that it is our responsibility to provide a
3 secretary -- we've got a chair and a co-chair -- we
4 should provide a secretary. We should elect a
5 secretary to take those minutes as it happens. Then
6 we don't have to go back to the transcript.

7 MR. PETE CONROY: That's a
8 reasonable option.

9 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: So, I mean, I
10 just wonder why we're trying to put this
11 responsibility on somebody else, if it's our minutes,
12 we should elect a secretary to take those minutes.

13 DR. BARRY COX: Monty, I nominate
14 you as our --

15 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Now, I won't
16 do it.

17 MR. RON LEVY: You've been elected,
18 come on.

19 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: But it seems
20 like we're building a straw man, unless we've got
21 somebody taking minutes to respond to.

22 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Well, my
23 concern is kind of like Donna's, I read the transcript

1 this weekend, it took me approximately four hours to
2 go through all of it. There were eighty -- there were
3 over eighty pages of it.

4 And, you know, in the past, if I
5 wanted to go -- since we started getting the
6 transcript, if I wanted to go back, I could look at my
7 minutes because I don't always remember the sequence
8 of everything. You know, lots of the sequence is a
9 roll call and the introduction of everybody and all
10 like this. So, it helped me spot where, if I wanted
11 to go to a particular thing.

12 And so, that's basically, you
13 know -- we talked about minutes. And, of course,
14 minutes are only supposed to show action items and
15 what's voted on and all like that and in a summary.
16 And so, you know, our concern on the minutes thing was
17 at one time, a private contractor was hired by the
18 Army to do the minutes, which was an independent
19 person that was not employed by either one. And then
20 they didn't renew that contract.

21 So then the Army assumed, you know,
22 taking the minutes and -- or doing the transcript,
23 plus the minutes. And now -- and then we did away

1 with the minutes. And so I guess part of the thing,
2 you know, was that this was part of the service. And
3 in the guidelines that is published by the RAB, it
4 says that the minutes should be -- should -- it
5 doesn't say should, it said could, I believe was the
6 term. And that was interpreted to be an option. And,
7 of course, then the response that we had last week was
8 that the Army felt like the transcript sufficed for
9 for that.

10 But in my time, I don't have four
11 hours or more to go over transcripts every time I want
12 to, you know, do that. I did it this week, to see --
13 to go back and review some things. But if we just had
14 an index or something to just kind of show the
15 sequence of the activities, that's basically what the
16 minutes are supposed to do.

17 MR. GLYNN RYAN: Let me -- Mayor,
18 the agenda items -- and if you look at what we
19 proposed is a key, if you will, an index; it tells you
20 in what sequence we conducted our business.

21 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Correct,
22 yeah.

23 MR. GLYNN RYAN: And also, just to

1 clarify, we, the Army, did hire the contractor who
2 took the minutes and they did work for us, they were
3 not independent of either group.

4 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Okay.

5 MR. GLYNN RYAN: They did work for
6 the Army.

7 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: But like
8 I say, in several meetings we've had items that have
9 been discussed that weren't on the agenda. But I have
10 no problem with this. So, if it's appropriate, since
11 we have amended the agenda to include minutes, then I
12 would like to make a motion that we approve the
13 January, February, and March minutes that have been
14 presented tonight.

15 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: That's our
16 next item. But they were giving me a report on the --

17 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Okay. I'm
18 sorry.

19 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: You know, I'm
20 slow tonight. I have an awful headache. But they
21 were giving me a report. And the next item have been
22 amended under that.

23 So, am I correct to understand that

1 we all now are operating on, even though we're not
2 completely satisfied with what is being presented, we
3 can live with this? Is that my RAB?

4 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Well, my input,
5 again, is that if there is something that we feel is
6 important that should be in the minutes, because we
7 want it to be there as a guide mark to a further
8 discussion in the transcripts, that we should amend
9 the minutes at each meeting to reflect those items.

10 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Well,
11 according -- part of parliamentary procedures will
12 always allow you to amend the agenda to include
13 certain things, and that would certainly fall under
14 them.

15 But my action is, is this
16 committee's report acceptable to RAB members that
17 we've just heard?

18 MR. SCOTT BECKETT: I've got one
19 further thing that might help. I wondered if any of
20 us feel that we're engaged in a discussion that we
21 especially want to find quickly in the transcripts, to
22 possibly amend it to the minutes, is there some way,
23 Samantha, that you can flag it in the transcript if we

1 ask you during the meeting, Samantha, would you,
2 please, flag this in bold, so that as we're scanning
3 the transcript, it would jump out at us?

4 MS. SAMANTHA NOBLE: Yes. And I can
5 also make you a keyword index that will list every
6 word that was said in here and it will tell you what
7 page and what line it was said on, if that helps.

8 MR. PETE CONROY: Terrific. Let's
9 vote for that.

10 MS. SAMANTHA NOBLE: I haven't
11 spoken to any of them about --

12 MR. RON LEVY: The cost?

13 MS. SAMANTHA NOBLE: I mean, as far
14 as I'm concerned, not printing it up on paper, if I
15 just add it on the ASCII, I won't charge anything for
16 it, so it doesn't matter to me --

17 MR. RON LEVY: Hey, sounds good.

18 MS. SAMANTHA NOBLE: -- I'll just
19 put it at the end of the ASCII, if it will help
20 everybody out.

21 MR. SCOTT BECKETT: That's a
22 software form --

23 MS. SAMANTHA NOBLE: It will be the

1 transcript that they send over the internet. I won't
2 put it on the written --

3 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Index of words?

4 MS. SAMANTHA NOBLE: It is. It goes
5 alphabetically. It will tell you, you know, every
6 word, every page, every line it was said on. So, if
7 there is a particular keyword you're looking for, you
8 can go to it and go to everywhere it was found.

9 Now, that's up to y'all, what y'all
10 want me to do, but I can do that.

11 MR. PETE CONROY: Let's try it.

12 MS. DONNA FATHKE: I so move.

13 MR. SCOTT BECKETT: Second.

14 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Let me stop
15 y'all. You're getting away from me. I mean, I know
16 you so moved and you second, but that was not a
17 motion. She was making a comment, a point of
18 information. The only thing that we can decide is
19 from the other RAB members, were you satisfied with
20 the committee's report? Can the minutes issue go to
21 bed?

22 MR. SCOTT BECKETT: Well, as --

23 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Should we

1 accept the committee's report so we can move on?

2 MR. RON LEVY: Somebody needs to
3 make a motion because that's --

4 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: That's what
5 I'm saying, I need for somebody to make a motion so
6 that we can accept the committee's report.

7 MR. SCOTT BECKETT: I move that we
8 accept the committee's report.

9 MR. (UNIDENTIFIED): I second it.

10 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: It's been
11 properly moved and seconded to accept the committee's
12 report. All in favor of staying the motion, let it be
13 known by your vote and sign. I will do. Opposes, the
14 same opportunity.

15 Now, we can move -- and it did not
16 get lost, because we put it aside, but the motion to
17 accept the minutes for the last three months as
18 printed that you all obviously wanted to entertain, we
19 added to the agenda and it was motioned and seconded.
20 All in favor of accepting the last three months of
21 minutes, collectively, let it be known by --

22 MS. DONNA FATHKE: The motion hasn't
23 been seconded, yet or open for discussion.

1 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Okay.

2 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Somebody
3 seconded.

4 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: It was
5 seconded.

6 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: I think it was
7 seconded.

8 MS. DONNA FATHKE: I would like to
9 discuss --

10 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I'm about to
11 ask -- are you ready for the question? Now, is your
12 time for discussion.

13 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Harking back to
14 my previous comment, from the committee meeting, I
15 have -- I did review the transcripts today, and I have
16 six amendments that I would like to make of them.
17 Three of them are very easy, they're just taking --
18 actually, for the January minutes, there was a more
19 robust minutes that was done by the Army. And the
20 first three amendments that I would like to make, are
21 just replace -- pulling three paragraphs from those
22 original minutes back into this sterilized version of
23 the minutes.

1 The first one -- you probably all
2 don't have copies of these minutes with you. But the
3 first one regards Mr. Conroy's requested clarification
4 regarding two standards of cleanup up for the refuge;
5 one standard being passive recreation with cleanup of
6 the surface to six inches and the other standard being
7 surface cleanup. Mr. Ryan replied, the Army is still
8 looking at recommendations and decisions have not been
9 made, still discussing cleanup with Fish and Wildlife.

10 I would like to insert that under
11 old business on the January 13th minutes, right after
12 the board voted on and approved amendments to the
13 bylaws.

14 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Now, I've got
15 to have somebody help me. Our purpose for inserting
16 that is what? Because it's not an action, it's a
17 discussion.

18 MS. DONNA FATHKE: It's a summary of
19 the discussion that occurred. That particular point
20 was a point on the agenda that came up formally for
21 discussion. It was, no, not a motion or anything, but
22 it was a summary of the discussion that ensued.

23 I mean, in my point of view, a

1 minutes is more than just a recap of motions made,
2 it's also a summary of discussions that occurred.

3 MR. SCOTT BECKETT: It's my
4 understanding of the Roberts Rules of Order says that,
5 if minutes are to be provided for people who weren't
6 at the meeting, that it should contain the important
7 points of the discussion.

8 MS. DONNA FATHKE: And that's all
9 I'm trying to introduce here are some points of
10 discussion.

11 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: You made the
12 motion. We're waiting for the second.

13 MR. SCOTT BECKETT: Second.

14 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Okay. Now,
15 do we need any discussion for that? Are you ready to
16 vote? Because they're so mass, we're going to do
17 those one at a time. Okay?

18 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Uh-huh.

19 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: All in favor
20 of adding that item of summary of discussion under the
21 January minutes, let it be known by I. Opposes?
22 There being none, that point is added.

23 MS. DONNA FATHKE: My second motion

1 is to add right after that point that we've just
2 added, another point that was on the agenda for the
3 January meeting. And it reads, Mr. Ryan discussed
4 Mayor Kimbrough's question from the previous RAB
5 meeting concerning whether the fill area northwest of
6 Reilly Airfield was investigated in a UXO EE/CA.

7 Mr. Ryan provided a letter to the
8 Mayor and RAB members, explaining the Army's position
9 for investigating this area and the landfill EE/CA and
10 the reason for recommendation of no further action.
11 The Mayor expressed his concern regarding the area
12 being identified for active recreation and potential
13 risk to families.

14 MR. PETE CONROY: Second.

15 MR. GLYNN RYAN: Where was that
16 inserted?

17 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: It was at the
18 point right behind that point here, in the old
19 business.

20 MR. GLYNN RYAN: Okay.

21 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: We're doing
22 the summary of the old business.

23 MR. GLYNN RYAN: Okay.

1 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: All in favor
2 of the addition of the said point, let it be known by
3 I. Opposes? There being none, the second point has
4 been added.

5 MR. PETE CONROY: Keep them coming.

6 MS. DONNA FATHKE: The third one
7 would go under the JPA report, after Ms. Schneider
8 presented the JPA report. And I move that this be
9 inserted: Ms. Schneider reported that JPA had voted
10 in December to pursue early transfer and privatization
11 of cleanup at McClellan.

12 The JPA Board will begin
13 negotiations with the conservation group to protect
14 the gray bat habitat on McClellan, that will
15 additionally create open space corridors where no
16 development can occur. JPA has hired a design group
17 to work on design issues, including infrastructure and
18 design aesthetics.

19 MR. PETE CONROY: Second.

20 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Okay, it has
21 been motioned and seconded. Are you ready to vote?
22 All in favor of said addition? Opposes? There
23 being none, it has been added.

1 MS. DONNA FATHKE: The next three
2 are my summaries of portions of the transcript, as I
3 went through them this afternoon. So, the next one, I
4 move would go in under the TRC point on the minutes.
5 After Mr. Branchfield stated that the committee had
6 nothing to report, I move we add the following,
7 Mr. Conroy reported that he had been to the UXO basic
8 training in Monterey and that he had been challenged
9 on several issues, including EE/CA review and ordnance
10 characterization. A discussion ensued primarily on
11 RAB receiving draft and final versions of the EE/CA,
12 as well as questions posed by ADEM.

13 MR. PETE CONROY: Second.

14 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Okay.
15 Motioned and seconded. Are you ready to vote? All
16 in favor of said addition, let it be known by I.
17 Opposes? There being none, the point is added.

18 MS. DONNA FATHKE: And the fifth one
19 out of six, I move that we put in under the action
20 summary sheet, after Mr. Levy briefed the RAB on the
21 contents of the summary provided to the RAB, and I
22 move that we insert: Mr. Levy reported with -- I
23 can't read my own handwriting here -- Mr. Levy

1 reported with a -- oh, with more detail than usual for
2 the benefit of new RAB members. Some discussion
3 revolved around the landfill investigation.

4 MR. SCOTT BECKETT: Second.

5 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Has been
6 motioned and seconded to add that. All in favor, let
7 it be known by I. Opposes? There being none, it has
8 been added.

9 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Okay, the sixth
10 and final, I move we put in, under update of TAPP
11 contract hours, after Mr. Levy reported there are four
12 hundred and forty-eight point two five hours remaining
13 on the contract, I move we insert, after the TAPP
14 report, Mr. Ryan brought up the topic of the Weaver
15 groundwater study and asked the RAB if it would like
16 this study to be presented to the RAB. A discussion
17 of pre-briefing requirements ensued.

18 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Second.

19 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: It has been
20 motioned and seconded that we add this point. All
21 those in favor of said addition? Opposes? There
22 being none, it is added.

23 MS. DONNA FATHKE: I'm done.

1 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Now, got those
2 additions. Can I get an approval, a motion to approve
3 the minutes as amended?

4 MS. DONNA FATHKE: For January.

5 DR. BARRY COX: This is January
6 only, correct?

7 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Can we do
8 them in mass or do you have some more to ask?

9 MS. DONNA FATHKE: I have not had
10 time to review the other two.

11 DR. BARRY COX: I have a question.
12 It says that there were go guests present at the
13 meeting.

14 MS. SAMANTHA NOBLE: I'm sorry,
15 Barry, can you speak up for me?

16 DR. BARRY COX: Yeah. Under the
17 introduction of guests it says, there were no guests
18 present. Is that correct, we didn't have any
19 contractors or anybody present for the January
20 meeting?

21 MS. DONNA FATHKE: I don't know.
22 Do we consider the contractors guests or are they --

23 DR. BARRY COX: We introduce them.

1 MS. DONNA FATHKE: -- or is there
2 another --
3 DR. BARRY COX: Well, we introduce
4 them.
5 MS. DONNA FATHKE: I'm sure they
6 were there then. I've never seen the gallery empty.
7 DR. BARRY COX: I'm just curious
8 why it says no guests present.
9 MR. GLYNN RYAN: Would you like for
10 them to be listed as guests?
11 DR. BARRY COX: I mean --
12 MR. GLYNN RYAN: I mean, I guess
13 we --
14 DR. BARRY COX: Well, I'm just
15 curious, when we introduce, we ask the --
16 MR. GLYNN RYAN: I was just looking
17 at the minutes that were --
18 DR. BARRY COX: I'm just curious that
19 the --
20 MR. GLYNN RYAN: -- provided, and I
21 see that we didn't put everyone's name on there, but
22 we could if you'd like.
23 DR. BARRY COX: I'm just curious --

1 MR. GLYNN RYAN: Okay.

2 DR. BARRY COX: -- said nobody was
3 present.

4 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I just don't
5 think they've been listing routine people, unless we
6 have some -- I'm not sure.

7 MR. GLYNN RYAN: We can certainly
8 provide that.

9 DR. BARRY COX: I was just curious,
10 how do we decide who is and who is not --

11 MR. GLYNN RYAN: We will leave it
12 up to the RAB.

13 MS. DONNA FATHKE: It's in the
14 transcript --

15 MR. GLYNN RYAN: What would you like
16 to see on there?

17 MS. DONNA FATHKE: -- each one of
18 their names as they introduce themselves.

19 DR. BARRY COX: I was just curious.

20 MS. DONNA FATHKE: I don't know, I
21 haven't even thought about it. Do you want to put
22 something in, the contractors present?

23 DR. BARRY COX: (Inaudible.)

1 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Can--

2 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: We do have a
3 sign-in we talk about. You all are aware that we do
4 have the persons that are with us, that are not part
5 of the RAB to sign in at each meeting.

6 MS. DONNA FATHKE: I just always
7 considered them family, I guess.

8 DR. BARRY COX: So maybe we ought to
9 have introduction of family (inaudible) --

10 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: And I think
11 they're kind of like we are, if they were guests, they
12 would decline to come.

13 MR. GLYNN RYAN: When you go around
14 the room and are introducing them, Barry, Sam does
15 catch that and it is a part of the transcript.

16 DR. BARRY COX: Okay. I was just
17 curious why some --

18 MR. GLYNN RYAN: -- whether it's in
19 the minutes or not.

20 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Do you want to
21 change --

22 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Do we
23 have a motion on the floor for approval of anything,

1 right now?

2 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: No, we're
3 waiting.

4 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: I would
5 like to make a motion --

6 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Hold on here.
7 Give me just a minute.

8 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: I would
9 like to make a motion that we approve the January the
10 13th minutes. And because we have just received this
11 tonight, that we wait 'till next meeting for February
12 and March, so that we'll have a time to refer back,
13 because this is the first time I've seen this. And if
14 there is any points that we'd like to add to those, we
15 can do it at that time. So, my motion is to approve
16 only the January 13th minutes.

17 MR. PETE CONROY: Second.

18 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Any
19 discussion?

20 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: I just have a
21 question. Where did we get these minutes? Who made
22 these up? Who put these together?

23 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: The same

1 people that's always putting them together.

2 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: The Army,
3 basically?

4 MR. GLYNN RYAN: Right.

5 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Brenda?

6 MR. GLYNN RYAN: I won't blame
7 Brenda.

8 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: It has been
9 motioned and seconded that we approve January's
10 minutes. All in favor of said motion, let it be known
11 by I. Opposes? Okay, January's minutes has been
12 approved.

13 Let me get back to my agenda. Other
14 old business, evacuation update. I think we talked
15 about that last time, right?

16 MR. GLYNN RYAN: Yes.

17 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: That was the
18 old business. And the evacuation, you know, we're
19 going to go through Fort McClellan, but the Bain Gap
20 is closed, so we're probably going to get an
21 evacuation update.

22 MR. GLYNN RYAN: There was some
23 questions at the last meeting about the Bains Gap

1 route. For those of you -- I know all of you are
2 familiar with this. This is the evacuation plans for
3 Calhoun County. If you notice M-3 lists
4 Fort McClellan as being under military control. And
5 it also lists the Choccolocco corridor, which is
6 State Forest, as being under military control. Those
7 have not been for some time.

8 In some areas, actually, it's owned
9 by the community. JPA owns a large portion of it, so
10 does the State of Alabama and their Forestry.

11 Back in November, I sent a letter --
12 there is copies of the letters in there -- November of
13 2002, we sent a letter to EMA that I signed out,
14 requesting that they change their map so that they
15 could correctly identify who is doing what out here,
16 because of this, we no longer have that Army control
17 of it.

18 I have not received a response. We
19 did get a letter then later on, which is also in
20 there, which asked that we open up the Bains Gap Road.
21 What we did is reply to them and tell them that we
22 would certainly like to sit down with them and help
23 them plan on how they're going to evacuate any

1 citizens out here and work with them. We have not
2 seen a response back from EMA, so we have not done
3 anything with it.

4 At this time, we are not in a
5 position to open that Bains Gap Road. That may come
6 in the future, but that's a separate issue. That's
7 where we're at to date.

8 Any of you -- as you can see, we
9 sent the JPA and Pappy Dunn, Chairman of the
10 Commission, a copy of this letter so that they're
11 aware of it. I mean, obviously, Calhoun County
12 Commissioners need to be aware of the issue.

13 This is incorrectly identified and
14 has not been changed. It does need to be changed.

15 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: I think
16 the JPA has also mentioned it here but -- Miki's not
17 here, but I think they've contacted them, also.

18 MR. GLYNN RYAN: I believe they
19 have, too, Mayor. I've talked with Dan Cleckler, so
20 I'm aware that the JPA is working the same issue.
21 It's just to let you know that, you know, we're aware
22 of the issue, we're trying to handle it and work with
23 them to solve it.

1 MR. PETE CONROY: It needs our
2 continued attention.

3 MR. GLYNN RYAN: Yes, sir.

4 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: It does.

5 MR. GLYNN RYAN: It's not something
6 we have to do immediately, but we need to not let it
7 fall through the cracks. And that's what we're trying
8 to do tonight, just to make you aware of where we're
9 at. Thank you.

10 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Now, we're
11 down to our program. Are you ready for us, Mr. Levy?

12 MR. RON LEVY: Philip's here.

13 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Let the record
14 reflect that Mr. Stroud did get here.

15 MR. GLYNN RYAN: And Mr. Miller.

16 MR. JIM MILLER: I'm just glad to
17 know I wasn't the latest one.

18 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I apologize.
19 Mr. Jim Miller came in. Have at it, Ron.

20 MR. RON LEVY: Thank you. Just to
21 start off, I have -- we have provided you in your
22 packets three things; copies of the comments and our
23 responses from EPA, ADEM and the JPA; a copy of the

1 minutes from the landfill BCT meeting that was
2 conducted the 24th and the 26th of March; and a copy
3 of the slides which I'm going to be briefing, or
4 briefly running through, show the results of the
5 landfill meeting.

6 As part of a request from the
7 previous -- I believe it was the previous RAB meeting,
8 and then as a result of some direction and guidance
9 that the BCT received, and I want to say it's from our
10 senior management, we're going to present to you some
11 of the work or agreements that have been made within
12 the BCT, relating to the landfill EE/CA. So, if
13 you'll just refer to these, then I'll go to this here
14 first.

15 One of the things you all had
16 wanted, had requested to see, and you now have it
17 again, is these comments and the Army's response to
18 those comments for all three of the agencies that I
19 mentioned. And these were a basis for our discussion,
20 too, at this meeting.

21 The reason for the meeting was
22 really the lack of progress, I think, in terms of
23 getting through some of the tough issues that were

1 associated with the landfill EE/CA. So, people at our
2 higher levels, Philip's boss and his boss and Doyle's
3 boss and his boss' boss and my boss and his boss, and
4 the JPA were at this meeting where this discussion
5 alluded to the fact that we needed to get together and
6 try to move some of this forward, which has also been
7 an issue. And there was two objectives that came out
8 of this discussion that said, okay, have a meeting and
9 the two things we want to see you do is we want you to
10 decide which landfills stay in the EE/CA and which go
11 to an RIFS or full remedial investigation feasibility
12 study.

13 And then they wanted us to address
14 any of the data gaps that were associated with
15 completion of the EE/CA or an RI for the landfills.
16 And I think it's important to point out again that the
17 meeting was attended by the EPA, ADEM, Army, the JPA,
18 and the JPA's contractors, to include our contractors,
19 the Army's and EPA's contractors.

20 It was well received by the members
21 of the BCT. I think all participants provided input
22 into the discussion. A lot of input from the JPA.
23 And I believe we had a good path forward defined.

1 From the Army's perspective, all
2 serious consideration was given to try and address
3 issues identified in the comments that were provided.
4 Actions defined, here in this briefing that I'm about
5 to -- these slides I'm about to go through, were a
6 result of many of those comments.

7 The next slide that's in your packet
8 there is a slide of the landfill areas. I also had
9 put it up on the board.

10 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: You looking
11 for a little pen?

12 MR. RON LEVY: Yeah.

13 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Right at the
14 head of your notebook.

15 MR. RON LEVY: Thank you. Two
16 things I want to point out, this is the map showing
17 all the fill areas in relationship to the main post.
18 To the right of that over here, it's just a little bit
19 of information from a background standpoint. And I'll
20 try to point that out as I go through the slides. I
21 want to make sure you understand what it says here in
22 this column was the recommended actions at the time
23 that the EE/CA was produced.

1 So, what I'm about to go through is
2 different from this column here, in terms of what came
3 out of our meeting. But that just represents what was
4 in the EE/CA at the time. In fact, at the time it
5 went out for public comment.

6 The first landfill that we discussed
7 was landfill one.

8 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Ron,
9 excuse me. Are any of these landfills actively being
10 used or being used now?

11 MR. RON LEVY: No, not actively
12 being used.

13 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Okay.

14 MR. RON LEVY: Even to include the
15 construction, the debris landfill, which we have a
16 permit on, but it's not being used.

17 Per our objective, we -- that first
18 objective, whether it goes -- stays in the EE/CA or
19 goes to an RIFS, we agreed that this fill area would
20 stay in the EE/CA. We also agreed to conduct a
21 landfill gas monitoring to ensure that methane gas was
22 not at issue. This was a recurring comment from ADEM
23 for most of the fill areas. And, Philip, Doyle, you

1 can just jump in any time you want to to add to my
2 discussion.

3 Also, to close some data gaps that
4 were addressed by the JPA, we agreed that we'd take
5 some additional sampling of the locations that were
6 defined by the group. That's reflected in the
7 collection of the four sediment samples. This was
8 talked about extensively by the group with JPA -- a
9 great amount of JPA input.

10 What we essentially agreed to was
11 that we would provide a one foot soil cover, where
12 waste was exposed, there would be land use controls
13 associated, and that we'd put monuments to define the
14 perimeter.

15 We also wanted to clarify the
16 conceptual site model. And just so you understand, a
17 conceptual site model is something that is developed
18 to provide kind of an initial understanding of
19 potential site contamination, to help formulate an
20 approach to conducting the investigation. A
21 conceptual site model might include a summary of the
22 sources of contamination, mechanisms of contamination
23 release. But two particular areas that they wanted to

1 see more clarity on was any potential pathways for
2 contaminant release and transport and ways in which
3 human ecological resources might be exposed to
4 contaminants.

5 So, throughout -- and you're going
6 to see throughout the slides, we in fact defined
7 better clarification for the conceptual site model for
8 use for all to understand the site conditions.

9 The next slide --

10 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Ron, I have a
11 question. These items that you list here, if this is
12 staying in the EE/CA, which means an EE/CA is going to
13 recommend several different solutions and costs
14 associated with each one, am I correct on that?

15 MR. RON LEVY: As I understand your
16 question, I think, yes, you're correct on --

17 MS. DONNA FATHKE: So, this is
18 not -- is this a final decision here on what's going
19 to be done or does that come after the EE/CA is
20 reviewed and approved?

21 MR. RON LEVY: This, what you're
22 seeing here is essentially the way we are going
23 from -- in terms of a remedy standpoint. So, what

1 you're seeing on here is going -- is the way we'd like
2 to go, in terms of final decision, based on getting
3 together with this group and determining what is the
4 best approach for this particular fill area. Is that
5 clear?

6 MS. DONNA FATHKE: And who has made
7 that decision?

8 MR. RON LEVY: Well, the decision
9 actually has not been made.

10 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Okay, that
11 basically is my question. That's what the Army would
12 like to do; is that correct?

13 MR. RON LEVY: Right.

14 MS. DONNA FATHKE: And the decision
15 is made jointly with --

16 MR. RON LEVY: Well, I should
17 say -- when I -- let me qualify it, in terms of what
18 the Army would like to do at this point, because there
19 is still the privatization issue for the Army to get
20 through with the JPA, which could conceivably change
21 all this. And in terms of those negotiations, that
22 could be more or it could be less than what you see
23 here. Did I characterize that right, Glynn?

1 MR. GLYNN RYAN: Yeah.

2 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Normally, who
3 would make the decision? Say the early transfer is
4 not going to happen, it's not in the picture.

5 MR. RON LEVY: In this case, since
6 it's a non-NPL site, it would be an Army decision, it
7 would be the Army's decision.

8 MS. DONNA FATHKE: It's a non what
9 site?

10 MR. RON LEVY: Non-NPL, not a --

11 MR. GLYNN RYAN: National priority.

12 MR. RON LEVY: Right. So, the
13 decision will come from the Army.

14 Move on to the next one. Any other
15 questions? Stop me any time. Landfill number two.
16 Again, in this particular fill area, we elected to
17 keep it in the EE/CA, we did agree to some landfill
18 gas monitoring, again, to close some data gaps or --
19 we agreed to take some more sediment samples to be
20 exact, a one foot soil cover was defined, land use
21 controls, monument to define the perimeter, and the
22 same types of stuff that I just mentioned to you that
23 related to landfill one, clarify within the conceptual

1 site model.

2 Next slide. Landfill number three.

3 In landfill number three, what we decided to do,
4 proposed to do is to remove it completely from the
5 EE/CA and combine it with the ongoing remedial
6 investigation for groundwater and address both the
7 soil source issue, along with the groundwater. So,
8 what that means, in terms of what the final remedy
9 might look like -- and it should come out in that
10 actual document -- was that you could see land use
11 controls, you could see monuments and soil cover cap,
12 and then you could see also additional work as it
13 relates to the groundwater. You know, whatever that
14 might be at this point, I can't tell you.

15 All that would be combined in the
16 same -- in the same RI document. There would be some
17 information in the EE/CA about landfill three, but
18 certainly all the technical information in the
19 evaluation is all moving into the RI for the
20 groundwater and all be combined.

21 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Ron, on
22 landfill three, I know that the JPA has in the works a
23 proposal for a road and they had tried to put that

1 road in earlier, a request, and there was not enough
2 right-of-way. They needed a hundred and fifty feet, I
3 believe, for a right-of-way. Would the remedial
4 action that you're considering make it possible for a
5 road to go over that?

6 MR. RON LEVY: Doyle, do you want
7 to go with that for him?

8 MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: You can put
9 the road over the top of that cap that would be there.
10 The fact is, the road could be part of the cap that
11 would be there. But anything that you would do, as
12 far as putting some, whether you use the term "cap" or
13 "soil cover," it doesn't make any difference, that
14 should not prevent you from being able to do anything,
15 as far as building a road there.

16 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Thank
17 you.

18 MR. RON LEVY: Philip, anything
19 different from --

20 MR. PHILIP STROUD: No, that's fine.

21 MR. RON LEVY: The next slide is a
22 little more from landfill three. And most of you know
23 that we've still got ongoing work as it relates to the

1 groundwater at landfill three. This slide just kind
2 of lays out some of the ongoing work that we're doing
3 north and west to define extent and also some
4 additional groundwater wells that, as we looked at it,
5 we thought to fill some data gaps are defined here,
6 one to the east. And as it says here, it was really
7 to look at whether or not there is any influence from
8 landfill four. And if I -- if you -- most of you can
9 see that here is landfill three right here, this being
10 landfill four, and we're talking about a well in here,
11 to look at whether or not there has been any influence
12 associated with landfill four on landfill three.

13 I can tell you, the Army doesn't
14 believe that's the case. But really, to fill that
15 data gap and answer that question, we intend to put
16 another well in there -- or we would like to put
17 another well in there.

18 And then in the south, there is a
19 well that we're looking at that's being put in as part
20 of the investigative process -- those ten wells that I
21 have been briefing you -- to ensure that if there is
22 any VOCs that come up, which again we're -- we don't
23 expect that, but if there is, then we'd have to look

1 at additional work to the south of this fill. And
2 this is all related to the groundwater issues.

3 Another thing that we agreed to is
4 that to help fill some of the data gaps and issues
5 that we had is that because there are sample locations
6 that are adjacent to this landfill that would
7 result -- that could show a result in off-site
8 migration -- in this case, we didn't see that -- to
9 include some of those sample results into the
10 discussion and investigation piece. And that was
11 truly what we concluded for all of landfill number
12 three.

13 Next slide. Landfill number four,
14 in landfill four, we agreed to keep this in the EE/CA,
15 put some monuments around it, again, to clarify the
16 conceptual site model. And I do want to say that this
17 landfill was closed in accordance with its permit.
18 And the work defined here did not really change.

19 We'll continue to work with ADEM on
20 any future permit requirements that they might see.
21 But at this point, the Army feels that the fill area
22 is really a non-issue.

23 Does anyone want anymore discussion

1 about that?

2 MR. PHILIP STROUD: Right on the
3 money.

4 MR. RON LEVY: Next slide is two
5 landfills on this particular one. It's that area west
6 of Iron Mountain Road and the stump dump. I guess I
7 can point those out on this map. This is the fill
8 area west of Iron Mountain Road and this being stump
9 dump.

10 This particular fill area is really
11 no longer there. In fact, the information we had was
12 very general in nature as to whether it was a fill.
13 Some aerial photography identified a ground scar out
14 there. So, we looked at it. But because of what's
15 happened, in terms of construction of the eastern
16 bypass, what -- any evidence associated with that has
17 somewhat been obliterated. Although, we did take
18 samples out there. There was nothing that related to
19 any eco or human health risks, so we agreed that it
20 should be no further action.

21 The stump dump, in this particular
22 area here, is in sight of the National Wildlife Refuge
23 area. Only really a small portion of this, right in

1 this corner here, on the slope, is where the fill was
2 identified. We all agreed that it would stay in the
3 EE/CA, that, as far as additional actions, the only one
4 that truly came out was conduct of landfill gas. It
5 was ADEM's position that they thought that we should
6 do some landfill gas monitoring.

7 What we decided is that we will
8 address it with Fish & Wildlife, probably at the next
9 BCT meeting. But the actual what we do out there is
10 still, in terms of landfill gas, is still going to be
11 discussed.

12 Next slide. Fill area north of
13 landfill two. Again, here, this one would stay in the
14 EE/CA. We'd look to remove surface to really do some
15 erosion control, monuments to define, again, clarify
16 the conceptual site model, and then go back and refine
17 some of the -- refine background evaluation with
18 existing data. That goes to addressing issues that
19 we've had between our risk assessors on background
20 data to define site-related conditions.

21 And we think that EPA and the Army
22 now have been able to work out the differences in
23 using our current methodologies for addressing, well,

1 metals, for example, that might be on the site or not
2 really necessarily site related. So, we think we've
3 got a means to evaluate those and be able to explain
4 the metals that had some -- we had some exceedances
5 that were slight --

6 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Ron, may
7 I ask a question. You've got four fill areas.
8 Basically, what is a fill area? You've got fill area
9 and then you've got landfills. What is a -- when we
10 term a fill area, what --

11 MR. RON LEVY: The difference here
12 is that the Army used the landfills and called them
13 sanitary landfills for purposes of debris coming from
14 households and in typical type landfills that you see
15 that receive household waste. Whereas, the other fill
16 areas may be related to something where we just
17 decided to put some debris from a construction site or
18 inert-type material, more than likely.

19 But, you know, whereas landfills
20 were labeled one through four, because they were
21 sanitary landfills, and putrid-type waste may have
22 gone in there, all sorts of things that might have
23 been significant, in terms of a fill area. Whereas,

1 the others are probably less significant, in terms of
2 what went in there.

3 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: So, in a
4 fill area, you would have probably a less variety than
5 you would in a landfill?

6 MR. RON LEVY: Yeah, to put it
7 simply, yes.

8 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Okay.
9 That's the way I would have to put it.

10 MR. RON LEVY: So, that was the
11 fill area north of landfill two.

12 The next was fill area east of
13 Reilly Airfield, the former post -- post garbage dump.
14 That's this area up here. And although I haven't
15 defined background -- I mean, fill area east of
16 Reilly, is about four point five acres in there with
17 about two related to the former post garbage dump.

18 Let's see. Again, this one we
19 elected to keep it in the EE/CA. We agreed to do some
20 soil monitoring or some landfill gas monitoring.
21 Another well to the west on this side over here,
22 really to fill a data gap that was identified relating
23 to metals, not that we expect to see something there,

1 but to be absolutely sure that we can say definitively
2 that there isn't anything.

3 Remove surface debris. One foot
4 soil cover on the fill areas only. Land use controls.
5 Again, monuments to define perimeters. The same kind
6 of stuff that you've seen in the others. Clarified
7 conceptual site model.

8 And then we got into a discussion as
9 it relates to the wetlands. You see this blue area up
10 here on the top, it's a wetland. And underneath this
11 label here is actually where Reilly Lake is at. And
12 then there is another wetlands off on the left hand
13 side. I don't have a better map that I could show it
14 to you on.

15 But what we did do as a group is we
16 recommended that we investigate the wetlands based on
17 some of the metals that were seen that were above the
18 screening levels for eco values and also the concern
19 of the proximity to the recreational resources, i.e.,
20 The City of Anniston's desire to put a park in that
21 place. So, what was discussed was thought to
22 delineate metals.

23 And again, we have not scoped any of

1 this stuff out, yet. We do have some thought to get
2 out on the ground here shortly and look at it. One of
3 the other issues too that came up, that Miki Schneider
4 brought up was a concern for the possibility that
5 there may be mercury in the -- as it relates to the
6 food chain or fish. And the Army just doesn't believe
7 that's the case. But to eliminate that as an issue,
8 we talked about doing an evaluation of potential --
9 for the potential for mercury there.

10 Also, looking at doing -- as part of
11 that, doing an ecological risk and a human health risk
12 assessment. Did I -- you guys have anything further
13 about that one? Want to add anything to it?

14 MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: You did good.

15 MR. PHILIP STROUD: No.

16 MR. RON LEVY: Okay. The next one
17 is the fill area northwest of Reilly Airfield.
18 Again, this one, we agreed to keep it in the EE/CA.
19 Some landfill gas monitoring.

20 Another data gap issue that we
21 addressed as we discussed it -- and let me just point
22 out this area -- this is the fill area that I'm
23 talking about right here. And directly to the north

1 of that, for -- the discussion for another well that
2 would look at metals, explosives, VOCs, so that we can
3 absolutely close the door on any potential
4 contaminants associated there.

5 We also discussed desire to --
6 debris removal, one foot soil cover, land use
7 controls, monuments to define perimeter, and again,
8 the conceptual site model clarifying that in the
9 document.

10 MR. SCOTT BECKETT: Ron, I'm just
11 curious, what does the phrase "on fill areas only"
12 mean? I mean, I'm just curious, because it's there
13 for every one.

14 MR. RON LEVY: Well, I will tell
15 you, if you look at these, some of these shapes up
16 here, it's an area as opposed to where the fill is
17 actually at. So, if you look at some of the
18 geophysical investigations, you might see exactly
19 where the fill is at, as opposed to that entire area.

20 MR. SCOTT BECKETT: Okay.

21 MR. RON LEVY: So, it's not over the
22 entire surface, it's just where we see debris and the
23 fill is coming up.

1 MR. SCOTT BECKETT: Okay.

2 MR. RON LEVY: The last one, fill
3 area at range thirty. And I'll point to that. That's
4 right in here. It wasn't -- as everybody looked at,
5 there really wasn't any data to say that this
6 presented any human health ecological risk, as well.
7 Doyle and EPA recommended that we move the asphalt
8 debris out there, as it's a possible RCRA waste or it
9 could be considered as a RCRA waste, so we talked
10 about removing that.

11 And then there was a seep location
12 that was mistakenly -- well, it wasn't mistaken -- it
13 was addressed that people could have construed there
14 was actually in the middle of the fill area, so we
15 wanted to change the text to clarify exactly where
16 that seep area was at.

17 That is a totality of what was
18 discussed and we tried to come to agreement on at the
19 BCT meeting. And I'll let Doyle and Philip say
20 anything further to this, if they want to. And then
21 we can open it up for discussion.

22 MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: I don't have
23 anything else.

1 MR. PHILIP STROUD: Not at this
2 time, I don't.

3 MR. RON LEVY: We'll be glad to
4 take questions. Thoughts.

5 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: What's
6 the next step then?

7 MR. RON LEVY: Well, I think the
8 next step is the privatization piece because it's in
9 the midst of negotiation. So, we're kind of going to
10 wait to see what happens. We obviously want to move
11 forward, but if it gets negotiated and something that
12 goes to the JPA to do, then this may become a baseline
13 for the work that gets done. Or something more might
14 get negotiated, I just can't tell you.

15 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: So, if --
16 Matrix, they'll be reviewing this?

17 MR. RON LEVY: In fact, Matrix was
18 involved in this meeting. They were actually there.

19 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Okay.
20 And so they'll actually be negotiating with the
21 Government hopefully before --

22 MR. RON LEVY: It -- Matrix --

23 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: --

1 September --

2 MR. RON LEVY: -- in representing
3 the JPA would --

4 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: So, this
5 could change then?

6 MR. GLYNN RYAN: Oh, yeah. This is
7 a -- what we are looking at today, with this
8 negotiation, everything is on the table. I mean,
9 that's a --

10 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Additional
11 questions? Thank you, Ron, for the report.

12 Now, we're down to our new business.
13 Our first item, do we want to add to the RAB
14 membership at this time? We still have three
15 applications on file. I think you all probably have
16 that information.

17 I mean, we've had them a couple of
18 terms now. Do we want to solicit other applications?
19 What is the wishes of the members?

20 We have two -- Dr. Cox and Pete had
21 to leave. Does that take our quorum? Let me see,
22 one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine,
23 ten.

1 MR. GLYNN RYAN: We're still good.

2 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Yeah, we're
3 still good. What is the wishes of this board?

4 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: I don't
5 understand the question.

6 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: The question
7 is: We have two vacant slots on the board with the
8 resignation of Mr. Cunningham and Mr. Freeman. And I
9 am asking if the board would like to fill the slots
10 from the three applications that we have on file or
11 would the board like to solicit additional
12 applications?

13 MR. JIM MILLER: Who are the three
14 that are on file?

15 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Say again. I
16 didn't hear you.

17 MR. JIM MILLER: Who are the three
18 that are on file now?

19 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: The three that
20 we have on file is Mr. Joe McCary, David Steffy,
21 Keith Howland.

22 MS. BRENDA CUNNINGHAM:
23 Dr. Harrington, at the last RAB meeting, the RAB

1 members wanted to put that off last month and solicit
2 new members.

3 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: That's what
4 they told, yes.

5 MS. BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: I have
6 ballots, so, if they have members that they want to
7 add to these ballots tonight or we can wait.

8 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I have a new
9 one. I don't know -- she's supposed to mail it in.
10 Help me.

11 MR. JIM MILLER: I think we need to
12 work on it some more.

13 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: So be it.
14 Apparently, everybody else is feeling that same way
15 since nobody is saying anything. Is that the wishes
16 of the board?

17 Now we're going to just move into
18 the agency reports then. ADEM and EPA, give me what
19 you got.

20 MR. PHILIP STROUD: Okay. We have
21 been busy. And one of my biggest issues lately has,
22 of course, been along the UXO line, have a lot of
23 issues dealing with that. I'm going to go ahead and

1 pass this around. If this isn't enough, we'll sure
2 get some copies.

3 First page will be documents
4 received this last month. Second page will be
5 documents that have been going out the door, and some
6 of the letters we've been putting out the door, also.

7 We're also in the midst of finishing
8 up several more. You can almost mass (phonetic) this
9 page here of other letters going out so -- and we've
10 been -- we're starting to get a lot more ADEM support,
11 which is a great joy at the same time. And Mark and
12 Brandi, you'll see their names on here.

13 And so, we appreciate your patience,
14 also. And as we get into the more complicated reports
15 coming down the road here, this list will probably go
16 down just a little bit. But understand, if we go
17 through a privatization, then this list will probably
18 double. I hear all kinds of things.

19 But anyway, this is where we are.
20 If you have any questions on this, just feel free to
21 ask or talk to us. If you see anything we need to
22 change, let us know, we'll correct this.

23 MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: Passing around

P.O. BOX 544
OHATCHEE, AL 36271
256-892-0591
FAX 256-892-3001

1 a list of the activities that I've done since the
2 February RAB meeting. And as you can see there,
3 it's -- I've reviewed fourteen different documents,
4 submitted comments on them or approval letters, one or
5 the other.

6 And on the second page there, you
7 can see that I've attended ten different meetings.
8 And I won't bother to read all that to you, but I'll
9 let Sam incorporate it by reference. If you have any
10 questions, I'll be glad to try to answer those for
11 you.

12 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: I notice
13 you attended a training course for UXO. Are y'all
14 going to get back into UXO?

15 MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: I don't know,
16 that decision has not been made, yet.

17 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Is there any
18 additional questions? You guys have been busy.
19 There is no one here from JPA. And I have not
20 received anything, have you all?

21 MR. RON LEVY: Not heard anything.
22 Brenda, did JPA --

23 MS. BRENDA CUNNINGHAM: (Shakes

1 head.)

2 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: And I feel
3 certain that the technical review committee has
4 nothing. Do we?

5 MR. RON LEVY: Well, Ron Grant did a
6 review. I don't know if he wants to --

7 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I haven't got
8 down to TAPP, yet.

9 MR. RON LEVY: That's right, I'm
10 sorry.

11 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I'm on the
12 technical review. We're down to our action summary
13 sheet.

14 MR. RON LEVY: Yeah. Instead of
15 the long, drawn-out walking through each piece of
16 detail, let me see if I can't point out maybe some of
17 the high points. As it relates to landfill number
18 three, the off-site groundwater monitoring and the ten
19 additional wells, as of this writing of this
20 particular report, there was eight done. For those of
21 you who know, we are drilling in the median of
22 Highway 21, almost at the northernmost edge of what
23 Fort McClellan is.

1 It's -- that particular well, we're
2 looking at it almost three hundred foot in depth, at
3 this point. And they're still working on it. I don't
4 have a particular date when that's going to be
5 completed.

6 There has also been a private well
7 spring survey done. Some of that information is going
8 to be presented to the BCT this week. Certainly, we,
9 at some point, will be glad to show that to the RAB,
10 as well.

11 We've completed groundwater
12 monitoring samples for landfill three in March. These
13 included City of Weaver's wells, Mr. Brown's property,
14 some of the ones on Blarney Drive. And I'm not aware
15 of any significance to any of that sampling, though,
16 we haven't seen anything come back, at this point.

17 In the OE investigations, Alpha area
18 EE/CA, the public comment period on the EE/CA report
19 was March to the 2nd of April. And we did a public
20 meeting on the 18th of March.

21 Bravo area, we're working the draft
22 report. The Y (phonetic) removal area in -- for those
23 of you who know -- don't know where that is, it's this

1 area up here. Can you see where I'm pointing, against
2 that wall over there? You see where that little
3 pointer is? That's that area right in there. That's
4 currently ongoing. And don't have a projected
5 completion date.

6 Charlie area report, the Army's
7 currently reviewing the draft -- as of this report, is
8 currently reviewing the draft EE/CA report from
9 Huntsville and the contractor. So, we expect shortly
10 to be sending that report to the State.

11 M-101, we submitted a removal report
12 to ADEM for review. And there is comment review
13 resolution going on between that. I understand that
14 Ron has got some information, in terms of his review
15 of some of that.

16 Eastern bypass, we're still working
17 a draft removal report on that for submission to ADEM.
18 So, for the most part, their work has been completed
19 within the eastern bypass. And we're just working the
20 removal report at this point.

21 We talked about the landfill EE/CA
22 actions and what's happening there, so I won't go into
23 any of that.

1 The FOSTs that are out there are
2 listed. I'd like to point out that the Army
3 provide -- the ECOP for Fish & Wildlife Service, which
4 is on a fast track to get the property transferred.
5 The Army provided the final ECOP and responses to
6 comments to EPA and ADEM on the 8th of April on that.
7 Worked through their comments and write responses.
8 So, we're trying to meet (phonetic) that property so
9 that there could be a signing the last week in May,
10 Glynn.

11 MR. GLYNN RYAN: I don't know.

12 MR. RON LEVY: Property transfers,
13 deed nine is being processed through Army channels.
14 That's actually the PX area. And then you can see the
15 number of folks from the Corps, contract staff that
16 are on post during this time period.

17 As always, we're concerned about
18 safety, folks going through roadblocks and whatnot on
19 post, are potentially putting themselves in harms way.
20 We ask people not to do that.

21 I'll take any questions.

22 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: No questions?

23 Okay now, we're down to our TAPP report.

1 MR. RON GRANT: There is, in your
2 package some information (inaudible) from my review,
3 comments on the --

4 MS. SAMANTHA NOBLE: I'm sorry, Ron.

5 MR. RON GRANT: Beg your pardon?

6 MS. SAMANTHA NOBLE: A little louder
7 for me, please. Sorry.

8 MR. RON GRANT: It's kind of hard to
9 overcome this blower back here.

10 In your package, there are some --
11 my review comments on the finding of suitability for
12 transfer for the eastern bypass, tracts two and three.
13 And I've got just a brief summary of that that I'll,
14 you know, go over.

15 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Ron, what does
16 that document look like?

17 MR. RON GRANT: The one you're
18 looking for starts off -- is about three typed pages
19 and the heading is review of Army responses to
20 regulatory comments, dated January 21, 2003.

21 MS. DONNA FATHKE: Thank you.

22 MR. RON GRANT: Just a brief summary
23 of those three pages. ADEM comments that OE UXO

1 fragments/scrap are indicative of a historic impact
2 range and the clearance should be to depth. But the
3 Army contends that there is no data to support ADEM's
4 claim; training items found on the parcels do not
5 contain charges and practice items have a small
6 charge. So, there is still some obvious disagreement
7 there.

8 Clearance to depth data in the M-2
9 parcel adjacent to OE S1 is cited by the Army as
10 supportive of its position, due to the lack of high
11 explosives found there. ADEM also questions the
12 transfer of the M-2 parcel because of unresolved
13 comments from EPA. The Army comments that both EPA
14 and ADEM concurred with the transfer in June, July
15 2000 time frame by letter and considers this to be a
16 non-issue.

17 Another ADEM comment. ADEM
18 questions the sufficiency of equipment used to detect
19 subsurface ordnance greater than one foot depth on
20 tracts two and three. The Army states the equipment
21 is capable of detecting items deeper than one foot.

22 Another ADEM comment. ADEM requests
23 explicit/extensive information and data on work

1 performed on tracts two and three in a letter of
2 3 December '02 and 24 December '02 and says that that
3 still has not been received. The Army references
4 reports and letters that attempt to address ADEM's
5 data needs.

6 Another ADEM comment. ADEM
7 questions category one, determination. It says the
8 Army used data from the M-2 CERCLA site investigation
9 to infer that tracts two and three are category one.

10 And that's just a brief summary of
11 what's in those three pages.

12 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: If ADEM
13 and EPA make comments, can properties be transferred
14 before those comments are cleared?

15 MR. GLYNN RYAN: Yes.

16 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: So, what
17 you're saying is: Even if ADEM and EPA have -- feel
18 like they have sufficient concerns, they won't stop
19 and wait and say, ye, nay and prove them wrong or
20 right?

21 MR. GLYNN RYAN: We can transfer
22 property with unresolved comments.

23 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Do we do that,

1 though?

2 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Has there
3 any been transferred, Mr. Ryan?

4 MR. GLYNN RYAN: Yes.

5 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: What about
6 our TAPP hour updates? And do we know anything about
7 the attempt to get an extension? We talked, I think,
8 about that briefly last time.

9 MR. RON LEVY: I can tell you that
10 we're in discussion with Ron Grant about it at this
11 point. And we're attempting to extend his contract,
12 but we're still talking to him.

13 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Okay.

14 MR. RON LEVY: Just to bring you up
15 to date, five hundred hours was the amount of hours
16 that we started with under Ron Grant's contract. And
17 during the March, April time frame, Ron billed for
18 sixteen of those hours. So, that left four hundred
19 and nine point seven five hours.

20 The way TAPP works under the Army is
21 that the Army can provide technical assistance under
22 this contract at twenty-five thousand a year, up to a
23 maximum of a hundred thousand. So, certainly, at this

1 point, Ron did not use a significant amount of his
2 contract. And we're entering the May time frame for
3 the renewal of the contract.

4 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Okay.

5 MR. RON LEVY: I would also
6 mention, too, that should we work this -- be able to
7 work this out, the RAB will need to, in terms of
8 utilization of Ron and his technical assistance, have
9 to step up and define things that they think that Ron
10 can be used for. It doesn't necessarily have to -- as
11 it relates to these sites that we're (inaudible), in
12 order for him to truly get, you know, the use out of
13 his contract. So, he's there for your -- for the
14 RAB's assistance. Not specifically the Army, but for
15 the RAB's assistance.

16 And what really needs to happen is
17 the RAB to define issues that they want explained, in
18 terms that they can understand. And that's what Ron
19 is here for. He can go into a document, try to
20 interpret -- or at least try to boil something down
21 from a technical standpoint so that the RAB can
22 understand and maybe elicit some discussion associated
23 with that.

1 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: At the
2 last meeting, we discussed -- and it gets into the
3 next one, but there seems like there might have been
4 some confusion -- and I don't know if I was clear last
5 time on it -- that we had been told that he could only
6 respond to what was in the documents, basically. And,
7 you know, some of our question was, what we would like
8 was to have somebody with -- with the expertise to --
9 and I know that EPA and ADEM have that -- someone to
10 look at a document and say there are other
11 alternatives and could advise us on if, you know,
12 there are other alternatives. And, you know, that was
13 when we brought in with EPA and their grant.

14 So, am I correct in saying that
15 under the TAPP contract, that he can only respond to
16 interpret or to explain what y'all present in these
17 documents, if we question, and he cannot give opinions
18 whether he thinks this is sufficient or maybe not
19 sufficient?

20 MR. RON LEVY: That's different
21 than what you asked me, looking at other alternatives
22 or define other alternatives as a technical
23 standpoint. I don't see why Ron could not do that, as

1 he looked at a document. That's not the same thing as
2 taking -- you know, taking a document and going
3 through it and trying to provide you comments for it,
4 which is some of what I understood was part of the
5 discussion.

6 But looking at something from a
7 technical nature, maybe even looking at other
8 technologies certainly would be in the scope of what
9 Ron's job is.

10 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Well, for
11 one instance, Ron, there has been issue brought up
12 about UXO and the one foot versus three foot. This
13 issue has come up, you know, after we have gotten the
14 document, and which y'all have gone through the EE/CA
15 and everything. And so, you know, could he, prior to
16 that, when he was reviewing the original -- because
17 that you gave it before it went -- went into your --
18 with EPA and ADEM and all, could he advise us on that?
19 That's what we're trying to (inaudible).

20 Because we don't know, until it was
21 brought up -- I think Pete went -- we didn't -- we
22 didn't understand or we'd never been made aware that
23 there was an option to go to a -- from a one to a

1 three foot clearance in some of these. And that seems
2 like that has been an issue. As a matter of fact, I
3 think that was what I discussed with you earlier;
4 there is an issue between ADEM and y'all on some of
5 the bypass, on the one foot to three foot clearance.

6 And so -- and this is something that
7 I was made aware of through the MPO discussions with
8 the Department of Transportation last week.

9 MR. RON LEVY: I'm not sure what
10 the question is there. But if he's looking at a
11 technical -- you know, if he's looking at it from a
12 technical standpoint, i.e., is this piece of
13 equipment -- this is an example -- the M61 versus
14 Shonstat (phonetic) and what their capabilities are
15 and what one does versus the other, he certainly
16 should be able to talk to you about that after he's
17 done his research and what the aspects are there and
18 whether or not it's one -- what one does and what its
19 effects are. I mean, if that gets to your questions,
20 I don't see why he couldn't do that.

21 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: Okay. I
22 think we were under the impression that he could only
23 respond to what was written and he couldn't expand on

1 that any.

2 MR. RON LEVY: No. I mean, he can
3 even put on training. I mean, Ron can do anything
4 that helps you understand the technical nature of what
5 it is we're doing. May require some research on his
6 part, but, you know, if you're asking, you know, why
7 the one foot clearance and the technology behind or
8 why we came to that conclusion, Ron certainly can look
9 at that and explain that to you all, talk to you about
10 that and the difference between a three foot clearance
11 and the type of technology that was used there.

12 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: I think
13 that's been part of the problem with us asking any
14 questions, because basically, we can read this, you
15 know, and sometimes we need a little help, but we
16 would like to go beyond that. And so I think that
17 clarifies to us, if we want a further explanation.
18 Like I say, we didn't know this before, so, we
19 couldn't ask, well, why didn't you go with a three
20 foot, because we didn't -- we didn't know that that
21 was a possibility. I didn't. I don't know about
22 everybody else.

23 MR. RON LEVY: Well, part of the

1 problem, too, is Ron has not seen those documents up
2 until recently. I mean, they weren't released to the
3 RAB or the public. I mean, even though we were
4 looking at them internally, even in some cases some of
5 those documents weren't even to ADEM, yet, so it was
6 difficult for him to comment on any of that stuff.

7 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: Is it within
8 his right to suggest to us questions that we need to
9 ask? In other words, if in his research he's seeing
10 something that we're missing, can he suggest to us
11 that we ask more details on a particular issue?

12 MR. RON LEVY: He's supposed to be
13 providing you technical expertise. See, that's always
14 been a problem, to say, okay, go in the review -- go
15 in and review this document and tell us everything
16 that's wrong with it, that's not his function.

17 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I don't think
18 he meant it like that. I think he was meaning from a
19 standpoint, now I've read this and I understand this
20 and this and this, is there something that I may have
21 overlooked that's more serious than this?

22 MR. RON GRANT: If there is a
23 specific request of some kind -- of course, it needs

1 to be cleared through Ron to make sure it's within the
2 scope of work -- if you'll address it to him, he can
3 request it of me. And if you have a criticism of what
4 I've given you so far, if there is something that you
5 feel like -- if I'm missing the point in what you want
6 me to do, well, by all means, you know, tell me that,
7 too, so that I can change the direction or the flavor
8 of what I'm presenting to you.

9 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: I guess
10 part of it is sometimes we don't know the questions to
11 ask. And what we're asking --

12 MR. MONTY CLENDENIN: That's what I
13 was trying to get at.

14 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: What we're
15 asking is: Would it be allowable if you saw something
16 in there that you think there might be a question on,
17 for you to refer that back to us on like an EE/CA or
18 something like that?

19 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: That's a chief
20 concern that has been sent to me a couple of times.
21 And I heard you say, if it's a specific request. But
22 if this board could act in terms of that specific, we
23 really wouldn't need anybody to decipher it out for

1 us. You know, we can go through here and read what's
2 presented to us and think from that side of it, from
3 what we're reading it might be the practical and the
4 best to do, but then we -- that's where it gets off,
5 because that's not our expertise and we don't know
6 specifically what would have been the better idea or a
7 simpler idea or even the safest idea.

8 So, I think that may be swearing the
9 members off when you say, be specific, because it's
10 kind of difficult to be specific.

11 MR. RON LEVY: See, the way this
12 really kind of works is the regulators are asking the
13 questions, you know, why didn't you do this or why
14 haven't you gone in this direction. And Ron's job
15 really is to help you understand, you know, those
16 issues and boil them down in terms that you can
17 understand and maybe even decide which questions you
18 want to ask from that.

19 I mean, your job is to ask the
20 questions. He's there to interpret what the data says
21 or what the technology is about, help you understand
22 that. That's technical assistance.

23 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: But we

1 don't get that until the decisions have already been
2 made. Because by the time you go through your
3 committee and your questions and you agree -- just
4 like this document that we got tonight, y'all have met
5 and if we're an advisory board, we're not advising on
6 anything, we're just listening.

7 MR. RON LEVY: Well, in the case of
8 the landfill EE/CA -- because no decision has been
9 made, actually, you know, there has not been any
10 action memorandum signed or decision document signed.
11 I mean, that's still out there. It's the same thing
12 with -- well really, Alpha area EE/CA, I mean, they're
13 out there. They're -- there has been no decisions
14 made.

15 It really requires the RAB to step
16 up. And you have in some cases, you've said, okay, go
17 ahead and look at the FOST comments and tell us what
18 those say, which you did tonight, tract two, tract
19 three.

20 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: That's
21 all right. I'm --

22 MR. RON LEVY: I think when you ask
23 for a draft document, which you've asked for, if you

1 want to turn it around and say at this point, you
2 know, we want you to review this and explain to us
3 what this says, that's where he comes in. Or as
4 you've done with the EPA or State comments, explain to
5 us what this says, that's again where he comes in.

6 MR. SCOTT BECKETT: It's okay to ask
7 him what it says, but not to ask him what it doesn't
8 say? I mean, isn't that kind of the nub of the issue
9 there? Are there other alternatives that we wouldn't
10 think of to ask about because we don't have the
11 expertise?

12 MR. RON LEVY: I'm not sure that's
13 a difficult -- that's a -- I'm not sure that's a
14 problematic question. I mean, if it's technology
15 driven, I don't see why you couldn't ask him a
16 question like that. But you need to ask him.

17 MR. SCOTT BECKETT: Okay.

18 MR. JIM MILLER: But we got to ask
19 you first, right?

20 MR. RON LEVY: Well, I mean, as we
21 do -- it gets --

22 MR. JIM MILLER: That's the way I
23 understood what he just said.

1 MR. GLYNN RYAN: Well, let me
2 clarify that, Jim. Only because he's the COR on the
3 contract, they have to go through him. Other than
4 that, we just forward them. You send the questions to
5 us, we forward them.

6 MR. RON LEVY: Certainly, I'm not
7 going to --

8 MR. GLYNN RYAN: It's not a
9 clearinghouse, we don't clear them.

10 MR. JIM MILLER: I understand his
11 purpose, he has to determine if it's a legitimate use
12 of Ron's time, right?

13 MR. RON LEVY: Yes.

14 MR. GLYNN RYAN: Only that it fits
15 within the scope.

16 MR. JIM MILLER: Right.

17 MR. PHILIP STROUD: On some of our
18 questions, I would expect you, feel free to call me.
19 And if I sure can't answer it, I'll sure put you on my
20 expert to make sure the concept is accurate. I would
21 heavily entertain you guys calling me. And any of
22 these questions -- I was noticing this here -- I'm
23 looking forward to your phone calls.

1 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Now, the
2 availability of EPA grant for TAPP. I think Doyle
3 possibly has some information.

4 MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: Last month, I
5 don't remember whether it was Barry Cox or
6 Pete Conroy --

7 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I think it was
8 Pete.

9 MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: -- asked me to
10 take a look and see if there was a grant that EPA
11 could provide that would provide services to the RAB,
12 as far as being able to provide assistance. The
13 specific question was for what was called a TAG grant
14 or technical assistance grant. I checked on that.
15 Since this is not a SuperFund site, that grant is not
16 available.

17 But there is another grant that is
18 available. It is called the technical outreach
19 services for communities grant. That is available
20 through Georgia Institute of Technology that is
21 working closely with Louisiana State University, Rice
22 University, and Texas A&M University.

23 And that is funded by EPA's office

1 of research and development. It would not involve any
2 award of funds or whatever, but it would be just
3 simply a matter of identifying to a contact there at
4 Georgia Tech what services you would want in the
5 review of documents, what is said, what is not said,
6 what is meant, what is not meant, what do you know,
7 what do you need to know kinds of things. They would
8 be basically providing pretty much any service, as far
9 as advising you on documents or anything on the
10 environmental investigation and cleanup that's going
11 on.

12 And that service is available. I'm
13 sorry that Pete and Barry are not here to get that. I
14 will get in touch with them and give them the
15 information. Like I said, I don't remember which one
16 it was that asked that question.

17 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: It was Pete.

18 MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: Pete?

19 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Uh-huh.

20 MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: See what
21 happens when you get old, Doctor?

22 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: I don't know,
23 because I'm already there.

1 MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: I don't have
2 Alzheimers, I just have sometimers. Anyhow, the man's
3 name is Robert Schmitter, S-C-H-M-I-T-T-E-R. He is
4 the coordinator for that, there at Georgia Tech.

5 And so that is available to help the
6 RAB with some of their questions or concerns. And
7 I'll get with Pete and explain this to him, give him
8 this literature here. So, that is available.

9 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Thank you.
10 How about your upcoming programs? Do we know what we
11 want for our upcoming programs? All right. Do we
12 have any comments from the audience? This is your
13 opportunity. Everybody's mind is clear tonight?

14 MR. PHILIP STROUD: I don't know, I
15 wasn't here, were the minutes approved?

16 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: January's.

17 MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: Don't start,
18 don't even start.

19 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Now listen --

20 MR. DOYLE BRITTAIN: Don't go
21 there.

22 MR. GLYNN RYAN: With amendments.

23 MAYOR WILLIAM KIMBROUGH: I make a

1 motion we adjourn.

2 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: Is there a
3 second?

4 MR. JERRY ELSER: Second.

5 DR. MARY HARRINGTON: We are
6 officially adjourned.

7 (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 8:06 p.m.)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 C E R T I F I C A T E

2 STATE OF ALABAMA)

3 CALHOUN COUNTY)

4

5 I, SAMANTHA E. NOBLE, a Court

6 Reporter and Notary Public in and for The State of

7 Alabama at Large, duly commissioned and qualified,

8 HEREBY CERTIFY that this proceeding was taken before

9 me, then was by me reduced to shorthand, afterwards

10 transcribed upon a computer, and that the foregoing is

11 a true and correct transcript of the proceeding to the

12 best of my ability.

13 I FURTHER CERTIFY this proceeding

14 was taken at the time and place and was concluded

15 without adjournment.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

P.O. BOX 544
OHATCHEE, AL 36271
256-892-0591
FAX 256-892-3001

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
set my hand and affixed my seal at Anniston, Alabama,
on this the 5th of May, 2003.

SAMANTHA E. NOBLE
Notary Public in and for
Alabama at Large

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 11-19-2005.